



Comparative *in-vitro* Antioxidant Screening of Methanolic Extract of *Costus pictus* & Its Silver Nanoparticles

Ajithadas Aruna*, Nandhini SR, Karthikeyan V, Bose P

College of Pharmacy, Madurai Medical College, Madurai-20, Tamil Nadu, India

ABSTRACT

To compare *in-vitro* antioxidant activity of Methanolic extract of *Costus pictus* (MECP) and its Silver nanoparticles (MECPAgNPs) by various methods. Preliminary phytochemical screening of MECP was done by standard procedure. Synthesis of silver nanoparticles from MECP was done. *In-vitro* anti-oxidant activity of the MECP & MECPAgNPs were studied by DPPH assay, H₂O₂ scavenging activity, Phosphomolybdenum Method, FRAP and reducing power assay. The total Phenolic content, Flavonoid content & Vitamin C were estimated by using Gallic acid, Quercetin and standard Ascorbic acid calibration curve respectively. Preliminary phytochemical screening showed the presence of carbohydrates, triterpenoids, proteins, alkaloids, tannins, saponins, flavonoids, sterols and volatile oil. *In-vitro* antioxidant methods were resulted, the extract and the nanoparticles showed a dose dependent reducing ability. The nanoparticles at the same concentration offered much better activity than the extract alone. Phenolic content, Flavonoid content and Vitamin C amount of the MECPAgNPs was higher than MECP. These determination and quantification gives the information about the amount of secondary metabolites present in the MECPAgNPs was higher than the MECP which is responsible for the therapeutic or pharmacological activity of the plant. The MECPAgNPs showed very potent anti oxidant activity as compared to MECP.

Keywords: *Costus pictus*, *In-vitro* anti-oxidant, 1, 1-diphenyl-2-picryl hydrazyl (DPPH), Total Phenolic Content, Flavonoid content.

INTRODUCTION

Antioxidant protects the key cell components by neutralizing the damaging effects of free radicals, which are natural by-products of cell metabolism. [1-2] Oxidative stress causes serious cell damage leading to a variety of human diseases like Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, atherosclerosis, cancer, arthritis, immunological incompetence and neurodegenerative disorders, etc. [3-5] The plants contain a wide variety of

free radical scavenging molecules such as flavonoids, phenols, terpenoids and vitamins. Natural antioxidants tend to be safer, therefore the evaluation of antioxidant activity of various plant extracts is considered as an important step in the identification of their ability to scavenge the free radicals. [6-7]

Screening of *In-vitro* antioxidant activity done by various methods such as, scavenging of 2, 2-Diphenyl-1-Nitr

oxide scavenging activity assay, Total Antioxidant activity by phosphomolybdenum method and Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power Assay (FRAP) etc. [8]

Costus pictus D. Don is commonly known as spiral ginger, belonging to the family Costaceae. It is a magical cure of diabetes. Its leaf helps to build up

***Corresponding author: Mr. Ajithadas Aruna,**
Professor, College of Pharmacy, Madurai Medical College, Madurai-20, Tamil Nadu, India; **Tel.:** +91-9597786980; **E-mail:** nandhinibpharm@gmail.com
Received: 10 August, 2014; **Accepted:** 25 August, 2014

insulin the human body. So, it is commonly known as Insulin plant. [9-10] Leaf is traditionally used as anti diabetic [11-17], hypolipidemic [18], Antibacterial [19-20], Anti-cancer [21] and diuretic. [22-23]

The plant *Costus pictus* has been selected (specially the leaves) for the present investigation on the basis of the ethnomedical information and the review of literature as the plant is commonly available throughout India. Hence to exploit its potential use prompted the present study to investigate the leaves of this plant with clear scientific protocol. The leaf extract of *Costus pictus* contain bioactive compounds such as flavonoid, phenolic compound, tannin, triterpenes, sterols, alkaloids and vitamins. The extract may serve as a lead medicinal plant to synthesize various semi-synthetic drugs to treat various life threatening diseases such as diabetes, cancer etc. Antioxidant activity of *C. pictus* leaf extract was previously reported. [24-25] No studies available on antioxidant screening of its silver nanoparticles. This present study focused on comparative antioxidant activity of MECP & MECPAgNPs by various *in vitro* methods.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents: 0.1mM Diphenyl Picryl Hydrazyl Radical in Ethanol, 6% Hydrogen peroxide diluted with water in the ratio of 1:10, 0.1M Phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), 0.6M Sulphuric acid, 28mM Sodium phosphate, 4mM Ammonium molybdate, 1% Potassium Ferricyanide, 10% Trichloro acetic acid, Phosphate buffer (pH 6.6), 0.1% Ferric chloride.

FRAP Reagent contains,

- Acetate buffer 30mM pH 3.6: Weigh 3.1 g Sodium acetate trihydrate and add 16 ml of Glacial acetic acid and make the volume to 1L with distilled water.
- TPTZ (2, 4, 6-tripyridyl-s- triazine) (M.W. 312.34) 10mM in 40mM HCl
- FeCl₃. 6H₂O (M.W. 270.30) 20mM

FRAP reagent was prepared freshly by mixing a, b & c in the ratio of 10:1:1 at the time of use.

Instruments: Shimadzu UV Visible spectrophotometer, Model 1800.

Collection and preparation of extract: The leaves of *Costus pictus* D. Don were collected and washed thoroughly and dried in shade. The shade dried leaves were powdered and sieved in a No. 60 sieve and used for the further studies. About 500g of the dried powdered leaf of *Costus pictus* D. Don was defatted with 1.5 L petroleum ether (60-80°C) by maceration. The solvent was removed by filtration and the marc was dried. To the dried marc 1.5 L of methanol was added and the extraction was performed by triple maceration (72 hours process). It was then filtered and the combined filtrate was evaporated to a cohesive mass using rota vapour.

Preliminary phytochemical screening [26-27]

The preliminary phytochemical screening helps us in identifying the type of secondary metabolites present in

plants. Preliminary phytochemical screening of MECP was carried out by using standard procedure.

Synthesis of Methanolic leaf extracts of *Costus pictus* D. Don Silver nanoparticles (MECPAgNPs) [28]: 5ml of MECL was taken in the conical flask separately and placed on a magnetic stirrer with hot plate. To this 50 ml of 1mM AgNO₃ solution was added drop wise with constant stirring 120RPM at 50-60°C. The colour change of the solution was checked periodically.

In vitro ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY

Free radical Scavenging activity using Diphenyl picryl hydrazyl (DPPH) free radical [29-31]: A stock solution of 0.5 mg/ml concentration of MECP and MECPAgNPs was prepared. To 1 ml of various concentrations of test samples, 4 ml of DPPH and the absorbance was measured at 517nm. Vitamin C was used as standard. The percentage scavenging & IC₅₀ were calculated. A triplicate reading was taken and average was calculated.

Total antioxidant activity by Phosphomolybdenum

Method [32-33]: An aliquot of 0.3 ml of different concentrations of sample solution (MECP & MECPAgNPs) was combined with 2.7 ml of the reagent solution (H₂SO₄, Sodium phosphate and Ammonium molybdate). In case of blank, 0.3 ml of Ethanol was used in place of sample. The tubes were incubated for 95°C for 90 min. The total antioxidant activity is expressed as the number of equivalents of Ascorbic acid (µg/g).

Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP) Assay [34-35]: MECP & MECPAgNPs was dissolved in Methanol to get a stock solution containing 1 mg/ml. Varying quantities of the stock solution were added to 3ml of FRAP reagent and absorbance was measured at 0min and 4min after vortexing at 593nm.

Reducing power assay [36-37]

Various concentrations of MECP & MECPAgNPs was mixed with 0.75 ml Phosphate buffer and 0.75 ml Potassium ferricyanide [K₃Fe (CN)₆], then the mixture was incubated at 50°C for 20 min. 0.75 ml of Trichloro acetic acid was added to the mixture, which was then centrifuged at 3000rpm for 10 min. Finally 1.5 ml of the supernatant solution was mixed with 1.5 ml of distilled water and 0.1 ml of Ferric chloride (FeCl₃) and absorbance was measured at 700nm.

Determination of scavenging activity against Hydrogen peroxide [38]

Varying quantities of the stock solution were added to 3.8 ml of 0.1M Phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.4) and then 0.2 ml of Hydrogen peroxide solution was added and the absorbance was measured at 230nm after 10 min. Ascorbic acid was used as standard. The percentage inhibition and IC₅₀ of extract were determined.

Quantitative estimation of phytoconstituents

Estimation of total Phenolic content [39-41]

Phenolic substances are water soluble and they have been reported to have multiple biological effects, including antioxidant activity.

The total Phenolic content of the MECP & MECPAgNPs was determined by Folin-Ciocalteu reagent and it was expressed as milligram of Gallic acid equivalent (GAE) per g of extract.

Total Flavonoid content estimation [42-44]

Flavonoids have ability to complex with metal ions and act as an antioxidants and bind to proteins such as structural proteins and enzymes. The Aluminum chloride colorimetric technique was used for estimation of total Flavonoid content. The total Flavonoid content in MECP & MECPAgNPs was expressed as mg of Quercetin equivalents per g of extract.

Estimation of Vitamin C [45-46]

Vitamin C is also an important physiological antioxidant and has been shown to regenerate other antioxidants within the body, including α -Tocopherol (Vitamin E). Ascorbic acid was weighed and dissolved in water to get stock solution of 1mg/ml. Further dilutions were made to get the concentrations ranging from 40-200 μ g/ml. To 1 ml of sample 0.5 ml of Dinitro phenyl hydrazine solution was added and incubated for 3 h at 37°C. After 3 h, 2.5 ml of 85% Sulphuric acid was added and the absorbance was measured after 30 min at 520nm. The amount of Vitamin C can be determined by linear regression analysis and it was expressed as mg/g of extract.

RESULTS

Preliminary phytochemical screening: Preliminary phytochemical screening showed the presence of carbohydrates, triterpenoids, proteins, alkaloids, tannins, saponins, flavonoids, sterols and volatile oil.

Synthesis of Methanolic Leaf extracts of *Costus pictus*

D. Don silver nanoparticles (MECPAgNPs): There was a visible color change after the substrate was added to the plant extract. Initially the plant extract was colourless. Upon adding the silver salt, it turned brown. After 5 h, no significant colour change was observed. Increased concentrations of silver nitrate resulted in a brown solution of nanosilver indicating the completion of reaction.

Table 1: Percentage inhibition of MECP, MECPAgNPs & standard Ascorbic acid against DPPH at 517nm

S. No	Conc. in μ g/ml	Percentage inhibition by Ascorbic acid	Percentage inhibition by MECP	Percentage inhibition by MECPAgNPs
1	10	48.91 $\pm$ 0.60	35.31 $\pm$ 0.115	43.3 $\pm$ 0.085
2	20	48.91 $\pm$ 0.60	44.62 $\pm$ 0.115	48.71 $\pm$ 0.066
3	40	67.86 $\pm$ 0.27	48.64 $\pm$ 0.394	60.82 $\pm$ 0.085
4	60	79.49 $\pm$ 0.30	60.95 $\pm$ 0.167	66.14 $\pm$ 0.085
5	80	85.36 $\pm$ 0.29	64.61 $\pm$ 0.227	70.23 $\pm$ 0.182
	IC ₅₀	27.29 μ g/ml	46.66 μ g/ml	37.64 μ g/ml

*mean of three readings $\pm$ SEM

In-vitro ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY

Free radical Scavenging activity using 2, 2-Diphenyl-1-Picryl hydrazyl (DPPH)

The results for DPPH assay & graphical representation were presented (Table 1 & Fig. 1). From the Table 1, it can see that the MECP, MECPAgNPs and Ascorbic acid

were showed percentage inhibition of 64.61 $\pm$ 0.227, 70.23 $\pm$ 0.182 and 85.31 $\pm$ 0.29 at a concentration of 80 μ g/ml. The IC₅₀ value was found to be 46.66, 37.64 and 27.29 μ g/ml for MECP, MECPAgNPs and Ascorbic acid respectively (Fig. 1). The extract possessed a good radical scavenging capacity.




Fig. 1: Free radical scavenging of MECP, MECPAgNPs and Ascorbic acid against DPPH at 517nm

Antioxidant activity by Phosphomolybdenum method

The results obtained for the Phosphomolybdenum method and the graphical representation were presented (Table 2 & Fig. 2). From the Table 2, it can be seen that the MECP, MECPAgNPs and Ascorbic acid were showed an absorbance of 0.332 $\pm$ 0.005, 0.355 $\pm$ 0.007 & 0.371 $\pm$ 0.005 at a concentration of 100 μ g/ml. The extract showed a dose dependent reducing ability.

Table 2: Absorbance of MECP, MECPAgNPs and standard Ascorbic acid by Phosphomolybdenum method

S. No.	Conc. in μ g/ml	Absorbance of Ascorbic acid	Absorbance of MECP	Absorbance of MECPAgNPs
1	16.66	0.085 $\pm$ 0.005	0.057 $\pm$ 0.003	0.065 $\pm$ 0.008
2	33.33	0.165 $\pm$ 0.004	0.126 $\pm$ 0.005	0.138 $\pm$ 0.003
3	50.00	0.206 $\pm$ 0.008	0.185 $\pm$ 0.006	0.199 $\pm$ 0.005
4	66.66	0.323 $\pm$ 0.004	0.28 $\pm$ 0.008	0.305 $\pm$ 0.005
5	83.33	0.371 $\pm$ 0.005	0.332 $\pm$ 0.005	0.355 $\pm$ 0.007

*mean of three readings $\pm$ SEM




Fig. 2: Antioxidant activity by Phosphomolybdenum method

Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP) Assay

The results obtained for the Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power assay and the graphical representation were presented (Table 3 & Fig. 3). From

the Table 3, it can be seen that the MECP, MECPAgNPs and Ascorbic acid were showed an absorbance of 0.7 ± 0.0011 , 0.87 ± 0.002 and 0.936 ± 0.002 at a concentration of $100\mu\text{g/ml}$.

Table 3: Ferric reducing anti-oxidant power assay of MECP, MECPAgNPs & standard Ascorbic acid

S. No	Conc. in $\mu\text{g/ml}$	Absorbance of Ascorbic acid	Absorbance of MECP	Absorbance of MECPAgNPs
1	12.5	0.457 ± 0.001	0.36 ± 0.0006	0.38 ± 0.0014
2	25	0.576 ± 0.004	0.44 ± 0.0017	0.47 ± 0.0060
3	50	0.667 ± 0.003	0.57 ± 0.0017	0.59 ± 0.0043
4	75	0.821 ± 0.001	0.72 ± 0.0014	0.76 ± 0.0072
5	100	0.936 ± 0.002	0.79 ± 0.0011	0.87 ± 0.0020

*mean of three readings $\pm$ SEM




Fig. 3: Ferric reducing anti-oxidant assay of MECP & MECPAgNPs

Reducing Power Assay

The results obtained for the Reducing Power assay and the graphical representation were presented (Table 4 & Fig. 4). From the Table 4, it can be seen that the MECP, MECPAgNPs and Ascorbic acid were showed the absorbance of 0.780 ± 0.007 , 0.842 ± 0.007 & 1.052 ± 0.007 at a concentration of $100\mu\text{g/ml}$.

Table 4: Reducing Power Assay of MECP, MECPAgNPs and standard Ascorbic acid

S. No.	Conc. in $\mu\text{g/ml}$	Reducing power of Ascorbic acid	Reducing power of MECP	Reducing power of MECPAgNPs
1	20	0.745 ± 0.012	0.340 ± 0.002	0.451 ± 0.005
2	40	0.820 ± 0.003	0.414 ± 0.004	0.543 ± 0.004
3	60	0.930 ± 0.002	0.641 ± 0.002	0.702 ± 0.003
4	80	0.958 ± 0.059	0.689 ± 0.003	0.738 ± 0.005
5	100	1.052 ± 0.007	0.780 ± 0.007	0.842 ± 0.007

*mean of three readings $\pm$ SEM




Fig. 4: Antioxidant activity by reducing power assay

Determination of scavenging activity against Hydrogen peroxide

The results obtained for Hydrogen peroxide assay and the graphical representation was presented (Table 5 & Fig. 5). From the Table 5, it can be seen that the MECP, MECPAgNPs and Ascorbic acid were showed percentage inhibition of 74.07 ± 0.29 & 74.56 ± 0.28 and 79.99 ± 0.51 at a concentration of $400\mu\text{g/ml}$. The IC_{50} value calculated using the linear regression analysis was found to be 287.14 , 251.82 and $221.21\mu\text{g/ml}$ for MECP, MECPAgNPs and Ascorbic acid respectively.

Table 5: Percentage inhibition of Hydrogen peroxide by MECP, MECPAgNPs & standard Ascorbic acid

S. No	Conc. in $\mu\text{g/ml}$	Percentage inhibition by Ascorbic acid	Percentage inhibition by MECP	Percentage inhibition by MECPAgNPs
1	80	32.44 ± 0.81	23.61 ± 0.35	27.16 ± 0.28
2	160	35.47 ± 0.91	32.9 ± 0.46	32.17 ± 0.83
3	240	60.73 ± 0.51	43.45 ± 0.28	45.01 ± 0.43
4	320	67.32 ± 0.53	64.36 ± 0.33	66.49 ± 0.21
5	400	79.99 ± 0.51	74.07 ± 0.29	74.56 ± 0.28
IC_{50}		$221.21 \mu\text{g/ml}$	$287.14 \mu\text{g/ml}$	$251.82 \mu\text{g/ml}$

*mean of three readings $\pm$ SEM




Fig. 5: Scavenging activity by Hydrogen peroxide method

Quantitative estimation of phytoconstituents

Estimation of total phenolic content: The results for the total phenolic content of MECP & MECPAgNPs and Gallic acid calibration curve were presented (Tab. 6 & Fig 6).

Table 6: Total phenolic content of MECP & MECPAgNPs by Folin-ciocalteu method

Conc. of Gallic acid ($\mu\text{g/ml}$)	Absorbance at 760nm	Conc. of Methanolic extract ($\mu\text{g/ml}$)	Absorbance at 760nm		Amount of total Phenolic content in terms of mg GAE/g of extract*	
			MECP	MECP AgNPs	MECP	MECP AgNPs
2	0.229 ± 0.010	50	0.530 ± 0.001	0.542 ± 0.002	92.06 ± 1.2	94.13 ± 3
4	0.452 ± 0.006	100	0.980 ± 0.008	0.991 ± 0.001	84.82 ± 0.5	85.77 ± 8
6	0.695 ± 0.005					
8	0.918 ± 0.031					
10	1.162 ± 0.028					
Average					88.44 ± 0.85	90 ± 0.55

*mean of three readings $\pm$ SEM

The linear regression equation was found to be $y = 0.116x - 0.004$ while the correlation was found to be 0.9998. The amount of phenolic content present in the MECP & MECPAgNPs in terms mg GAE/g of extract was found to be 88.44 ± 0.85 & 90 ± 0.55 by using the above linear regression equation.




Fig. 6: Calibration curve of Gallic acid for estimation of total Phenolic content

Estimation of total Flavonoid content

The results for total Flavonoid content of MECP & MECPAgNPs and Quercetin calibration curve were presented (Table 7 & Fig. 7).

Table 7: Total Flavonoid content in MECP & MECPAgNPs by Aluminum chloride method

Conc. of Quercetin in $\mu\text{g/ml}$	Absorbance at 415nm	Conc. of extract in $\mu\text{g/ml}$	Absorbance at 415nm*		Amount of total flavonoids content in terms mg QE/g of extract*	
			MECP	MECP AgNPs	MECP	MECP AgNPs
20	0.589 ± 0.01	100	0.337 ± 0.011	0.35 ± 0.11	115.96 ± 0.26	117.45 ± 0.37
40	1.151 ± 0.04	200	0.669 ± 0.017	0.65 ± 0.17	123.76 ± 3.69	128.07 ± 0.37
60	1.710 ± 0.09					
80	2.390 ± 0.03					
100	3.112 ± 0.03					
Average					119.86 ± 1.97	122.76 ± 0.37

*mean of three readings $\pm$ SEM




Fig. 7: Calibration curve of Quercetin for estimation of Flavonoid content

The linear regression equation was found to be $y = 0.0307x - 0.0432$ while the correlation was found to be 0.9974. The amount of Flavonoid content present in the MECP & MECPAgNPs in terms mg Quercetin equivalent/g of extract was found to be 119.86 ± 1.97 & 122.76 ± 0.37 mg/g of extract by using the above linear regression equation.

Estimation of Vitamin C Content

The results for Vitamin C content of MECP & MECPAgNPs are presented (Table 8 & Fig. 8).

Table 8: Vitamin C content of MECP & MECPAgNPs

Conc. of Ascorbic acid ($\mu\text{g/ml}$)	Absorbance at 520nm	Conc. of extract ($\mu\text{g/ml}$)	Absorbance at 520nm*		Amount of Vitamin C present/g of extract*	
			MECP	MECP AgNPs	MECP	MECP AgNPs
40	0.135 ± 0.000					
80	0.265 ± 0.015					
120	0.346 ± 0.010	50	0.0866 ± 0.012	0.094 ± 0.066	746.66 ± 12.01	830.04 ± 1.03
160	0.468 ± 0.011					
200	0.525 ± 0.010					

*mean of three readings $\pm$ SEM




Fig. 8: Calibration curve of Ascorbic acid

DISCUSSION

The colour change of the medium from colourless to brown after 5 h was indicated the formation of silver nanoparticles. It showed that aqueous silver ions could be reduced by the Methanolic extract of *Costus pictus* (MECP). Done to generate extremely stable silver nanoparticles. All *In-vitro* antioxidant methods were resulted, the extract and the nanoparticles showed a dose dependent reducing ability. The nanoparticles at the same concentration offered much better activity than the extract alone. Polyphenols are naturally occurring compounds largely found in the herbals and medicinal plants. Phenolic compound may protect cell constituents against oxidative damage and, therefore,

limit the risk of various degenerative diseases associated with oxidative stress. [47] Consumption of plant polyphenols offer protection against development of diabetes, cancers, cardiovascular diseases and neurodegenerative diseases. [48-49] Phenolic content of MECPAgNPs was higher than MECP.

More than 4,000 varieties of flavonoids have been identified, many of which are responsible for the therapeutic activity in humans. Quercetin, Myricetin, Catechin etc., are some most common flavonoids. [50] Quercetin is known to possess strong anti diabetic activity. Recently reported Quercetin has ability to protect the alterations in diabetic patients during oxidative stress. Quercetin significantly protected the lipid peroxidation and offer antioxidant effect in Diabetes. [51] Flavonoid content of MECPAgNPs was higher than MECP.

Free radicals are capable of damaging essential biomolecules such as Proteins, DNA and Lipids which implicated in the etiology of several degenerative diseases such as Stroke, Coronary artery diseases, Rheumatoid arthritis, Diabetes and Cancer. Vitamin C offer antioxidant effect which protect from several degenerative diseases. [52] Vitamin C content of MECPAgNPs was higher than MECP.

The amount of secondary metabolites present in the MECPAgNPs was higher than the MECP which is responsible for the therapeutic or pharmacological activity of the plant. The MECPAgNPs showed very potent anti oxidant activity as compared to MECP.

REFERENCES

- Ames BN, Shigenaga MK, Hagen TM. Oxidants and the degenerative diseases of aging. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*. 1993; 90: 7915-7922.
- Shenoy R, Shirwaikar A. Anti-inflammatory and free radical scavenging studies of *Hyptis suaveolens* (Labiatae). *Indian drugs* 2002; 39: 574-577.
- Evans P, Halliwall B. Free radicals and hearing. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 1999; 884: 19-40.
- Devasagayam TPA, Kesavan PC. Radio protective and antioxidant action of Caffeine mechanistic considerations. *Indian J Exptl Biol*. 2003; 41: 267-269.
- Peterhans E. Oxidants and antioxidants in viral diseases; disease mechanisms and metabolic regulation. *J. Nutr*. 1997; 127 (Suppl 5): 962-965.
- Sies H, Stahl W. *Withania somnifera*, Vitamin E and C, β -carotene and other Carotenoids as antioxidants. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1995; 62: 1315-1321.
- Parker RS. Dietary and biochemical aspects of Vitamin E. *Adv Food Nutr Res*. 1980; 33: 157-232.
- Nur Alam Md, Bristi NJ, Rafiquzzaman Md. Review on *in vivo* and *in vitro* methods evaluation of antioxidant activity. *Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal* 2013; 21(2): 143-152.
- Jose B, Reddy LJ. Analysis of the essential oils of the stems, leaves and rhizomes of the medicinal plant *Costus pictus* from Southern India. *Int J Pharmacy Pharm Sci*. 2010; 2 (Suppl 2): 100-101.
- Aruna A, Nandhini SR, Karthikeyan V, Bose P, Vijayalakshmi K, Jegadeesh S. Insulin plant (*Costus pictus*) leaves: pharmacognostical standardization and phytochemical evaluation. *American Journal of Pharmacy & Health Research* 2014; 2(8): 106-119.
- Modak M, Dixit P, Londhe J, Ghaskadbi S, Paul T, Devasagayam A. Indian Herbs and Herbal Drugs used for the treatment of Diabetes. *J Clin Biochem Nutr*. 2007 May; 40(3): 163-173.
- Jayasri MA, Gunasekaran S, Radha A, Mathew TL. Anti-diabetic effect of *Costus pictus* leaves in normal and streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. *Int J Diabetes and Metabolism* 2008; 16: 117-122.
- Gireesh G, Thomas SK, Joseph B, Paulose CS. Antihyperglycemic and insulin secretory activity of *Costus pictus* leaf extract in streptozotocin induced diabetic rats and in *in vitro* pancreatic islet culture. *J Ethno Pharmacol*. 2009; 123: 470-474.
- Jothivel N, Ponnusamy SP, Appachi M, Singaravel S, Rasilingam D, Deivasigamani K *et al*. Anti-diabetic activity of Methanol leaf extract of *Costus pictus* D. Don in alloxan-induced diabetic rats. *J Health Sci*. 2007; 53: 655-663.
- Pareek A, Suthar M, Godavarthi A, Goyal M, Bansal V. Negative regulation of glucose uptake by *Costus pictus* in L6 myotube cell line. *J Pharm Negative* 2010; 1: 24-26.
- Al-Romaiyan A, Jayasri MA, Mathew TL, Huang GC, Amiel S, Jones PM *et al*. *Costus pictus* extracts stimulate Insulin secretion from mouse and human islets of Langerhans *in vitro*. *Cell Physiol Biochem*. 2010; 26: 1051-1058.
- Isaac ST, Alphonse JK. Comparative study of hypoglycemic activity of *Costus pictus* and *Costus igneus* in streptozotocin induced diabetic rat. *J Pharm Res*. 2011; 4: 3628-3629.
- Suganya S, Narmadha R, Gopalakrishnan VK, Devaki K. Hypoglycemic effect of *Costus pictus* D. Don on alloxan induced type 2 diabetes mellitus in albino rats. *Asian Pac J Trop Disease* 2012; 2: 117-123.
- Mani P, Kumar AR, Bastin TM, Jenifer S, Arumugam M. Comparative evaluation of extracts of *C. igneus* (or *C. pictus*) for hypoglycemic and hypolipidemic activity in alloxan diabetic rats. *Int J Pharm Tech*. 2010; 2: 183-195.
- Reddy LJ, Jose B. Evaluation of antibacterial activity of the essential oil of *Costus pictus* D. Don from South India. *International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Research* 2010; 2(3): 68-70.
- Majumdar M, Parihar PS. Antibacterial, antioxidant and antiglycation potential of *Costus pictus* from Southern region, India. *Asian J Plant Sci Res*. 2012; 2: 95-101.
- Nadumane VK, Rajashekar S, Narayana P, Adinarayana S, Vijayan S, Prakash S *et al*. Evaluation of the anticancer potential of *Costus pictus* on fibrosarcoma (HT-1080) cell line. *J Nat Pharm*. 2011; 2: 72-76.
- Caceres A, Grion LM, Martinez AM. Diuretic activity of plants used for the treatment of urinary ailments in Guatemala. *J Ethnopharmacol*. 1987; 19: 233-245.
- Comargo MEM, Najera CR, Torres RS, Alderate MEC. Evaluation of the diuretic effect of the aqueous extract of *Costus pictus* D. Don in rat. *Poc West Pharmacol Soc*. 2006; 49: 72-74.
- Jayasri MA, Mathew L, Radha A. A report on the antioxidant activity of leaves and rhizomes of *Costus pictus* D. Don. *Int J Integr Biol*. 2009; 5 (1): 20-26.
- Sethumathi PP, Nandhakumar J, Sengottuvelu S, Duraisamy R, Karthikeyan D, Ravikumar VR *et al*. Anti diabetic and antioxidant activity of Methanolic leaf extracts of *Costus pictus* D. Don in alloxan induced diabetic rats. *Pharmacology online* 2009; 1: 1200-1213.
- Khandelwal KR. *Practical Pharmacognostic Techniques and Experiments*. 19th ed. Nirali Prakashan, Pune; 2008.
- Harbone JB. *Phytochemical Analysis - A guide to modern techniques of plant analysis*. 1st ed. Chapman & Hall, New York; 1980.
- Aruna A, Nandhini SR, Karthikeyan V, Bose P. Synthesis and Characterization of Silver Nanoparticles of Insulin Plant (*Costus pictus* D. Don) Leaves. *Asian Journal of Biomedical and Pharmaceutical Sciences* 2014; 4(34): 1-6.
- Panda S, Tripathy JK, Panda JR. A comparative study of antioxidant properties of 2-[substituted arylideamino]-1, 3, 4 thiadiazino [6,5B] indoles and their inclusion complexes with

- β-Cyclodextrin. International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Drug Research 2012; 4(3): 191-194.
31. Brand Williams W, Cuvelier ME, Berset C. Use of Free Radical method to evaluate Antioxidant activity. Lebensm Wiss Technol, 1995; 28: 25-30.
32. Sahgal G, Ramanathan S, Sashidharan S, Mordi MN, Ismail S, Mansoor SM. *In vitro* antioxidant and xanthine oxidase inhibitory activities of Methanolic *Swietenia mahagoni* seed extracts. Molecules 2009; 14: 4476-4485.
33. Aruna A, Vijayalakshmi K, Karthikeyan V. *In-vitro* antioxidant screening of *Citrullus lanatus* leaves. International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Analysis 2014; 1(1): 9-26.
34. Pal DK, Dutta S. Evaluation of antioxidant activity of the roots of *Cyperus rotundus* L. Indian J Pharm Sci. 2006; 68: 256-258.
35. Pal DK, Kumar M, Chakraborty P, Kumar S. Evaluation of antioxidant activity of aerial parts of *Cynodon dactylon*. Asian J Chem. 2008; 20: 2479-2481.
36. Yaya AJG, Feumba RD, Emmanuel T, Tchinda AT, Frédéric M, Oben J *et al.* Antioxidant Activity of Compounds Isolated from the Root Woods of *Erythrina droogmansiana*. International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Drug Research 2014; 6(2): 160-163.
37. Sannigrahi S, Mazumder UK, Pal DK, Parida S. *In vitro* antioxidant activity of Methanol extract of *Clerodendrum infortunatum*. Orient Pharm Exp Med. 2009; 9: 128-134.
38. Jayanthi P, Lalitha P, Reducing Power of the of *Eichhornia crassipes* (Mart.) Solms, Int J Pharm Pharm Sci. 2011; 3(3): 126-128.
39. Pal DK, Nimse SB. Screening of antioxidant activity of *Hydrilla verticillata* plant. Asian J Chem. 2006; 18: 3004-3008.
40. Patel A, Patel A, Patel NM. Estimation of Flavonoid, polyphenolic content and *in-vitro* antioxidant capacity of leaves of *Tephrosia purpurea* Linn. (Leguminosae). Int J Pharm. Sci Res. 2010; 1(1): 66-77.
41. Jain P, Jain S, Pareek A, Sharma S. A comprehensive study on the natural plant phenols: perception to current scenario. Bulletin of Pharmaceutical Research 2013; 3(2): 90-106.
42. Siddique MA, Mujeeb M, Najim AK, Akram M. Evaluation of antioxidant activity, quantitative estimation of phenols and flavonoids in different parts of *Aegle marmelos*. African J plant Sci. 2010; 4(1): 1-5.
43. Mabry TJ, Markham KR, Thomas MB. The systematic identification of flavonoids. Springer Verlag, New York, USA: 1970.
44. Chang CC, Yang MH, Wen HM, Chern JC. Estimation of total Flavonoid content in *Propolis* by two complementary colorimetric methods. J Food Drug Analysis 2002; 10(3): 178-182.
45. Aruna A, Nandhini SR, Karthikeyan V, Bose P, Vijayalakshmi K. Comparative anti-Diabetic effect of Methanolic extract of Insulin Plant (*Costus pictus*) leaves and its silver nanoparticle. Indo American Journal of Pharmaceutical Research 2014; 4(7): 3217-3230.
46. Li Y, Schell horn HE. New developments and novel therapeutic perspectives for Vitamin C. J Nutr. 2007; 137: 71-84.
47. Luqman S, Rizvi SI. Protection of lipid peroxidation and carbonyl formation in proteins by capsaicin in human erythrocytes subjected to oxidative stress. Phytother Res. 2006; 20: 303-306.
48. Pandey KB, Mishra N, Rizvi SI. Protective role of Myricetin on markers of oxidative stress in human erythrocytes subjected to oxidative stress. Nat Prod Commun. 2009; 4: 221-226.
49. Pandey KB, Rizvi SI. Plant polyphenols as dietary antioxidants in human health and disease. Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity 2009; 2(5): 270-278.
50. Rizvi SI, Mishra M. Anti-oxidant effect of Quercetin on type 2 diabetic erythrocytes. J Food Biochem. 2009; 33:404-415.
51. Groot H, Rauen U. Tissue injury by reactive oxygen species and the protective effects of Flavonoids. Fundam Clin Pharmacol. 1998; 12: 249-255.
52. Rekha C, Poornima G, Manasa M, Abhipsa V, Pavithra devi J, Vijay Kumar HT, Prashith Kekuda TR. Ascorbic acid, total Phenol content and Antioxidant activity of fresh juices of four ripe and unripe *Citrus* fruits. Chem Sci Trans. 2012; 1(2): 303-310.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared.
--